

2019 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

(Aug 2019)



Local Authority Officer	Pam Sharp
Department	Environmental Services
Address	Causeway House, Braintree, Essex CM7 9HB
Telephone	01376 551414 ext 2224
E-mail	pamsh@braintree.gov.uk
Report Reference number	BRA/001/2019
Date	19 th August 2019

Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area Air Quality in Braintree District

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease, cancer and asthma symptoms. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas^{1,2}.

The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around £16 billion³.

The main source of air pollution within the district is road traffic. The main pollutant of concern is nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) from vehicle combustion engines. Whilst the A120, A131 and A12 pass through the district there are no points of relevant exposure immediately alongside these roads. The three main towns are Braintree, Halstead and Witham and there are increasing traffic flows in the town centres due to both commercial and residential development. It is in the towns where there is the potential for elevated NO₂ levels at residential facades (point of relevant exposure).

During 2018 the general trend for the NO₂ levels within the district was downwards. Two new monitoring points were introduced in town areas during 2018, one at Bridge Street Witham and one at Halstead town centre. There is an elevated concentration of NO₂ measured on the small roundabout junction at Head Street/Colchester Road Halstead. The annual average is calculated as 59.9µg/m³. This is based on an incomplete year of monitoring (11 months). Given that levels above 60µg/m³ indicates that the short term objective level of 200µg/m³ (1 hour average) may be exceeded then in response five further monitoring points were added in Halstead town centre from January 2019 to allow a more informed assessment to be made about the nitrogen dioxide concentrations due to road traffic

¹ Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

² Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

³ Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

On the basis of the information gathered in 2018 and 2019 Braintree District Council will need to consider the necessity to declare an AQMA (Air quality management area) in Halstead. To date no AQMA's have had to be declared in Braintree District and therefore air quality with the district is still broadly considered good.

In addition to air pollutant monitoring, permitted processes are routinely inspected by Braintree District Council under the provisions of the Environmental Permitting Regulations 2016 to ensure that emissions to air from the more polluting industrial processes are controlled. Larger industrial processes with polluting emissions to air are regulated by the Environment Agency.

Braintree District Council is a member of the Essex Air Quality Group which comprises representatives of all Local Authorities in Essex, Essex County Council, the Environment Agency, London Stansted Airport and the University of Essex. The purpose of the group is to promote improvements in air quality related issues by providing assistance to all members regarding their obligations under current UK Air Quality legislation. The group also discuss common air quality issues, sharing experiences and best practice amongst its members. It hosts an essex air quality website accessible at <u>www.essexair.org.uk</u>

Current air pollution challenges are to balance the desire to develop in the Braintree District area with its transport links (rail and road), close vicinity to Colchester, Chelmsford and Cambridge, and also close proximity to the A12, M11 and Stansted Airport whilst maintaining good air quality within the district.

Actions to Improve Air Quality

Braintree District Council endeavours to maintain good air quality throughout the District.

The Council's four year Corporate Strategy has a clear priority of "Supporting the delivery of strong sustainable transport infrastructure links". Braintree District Council seeks to improve sustainable forms of transport such as public transport, walking and

cycling, reducing carbon emissions from these sources whilst balancing the demand for development and economic growth.

Braintree District Council works with Essex County Council, the Highways Agency and bus and railway providers to improve accessibility, provide sustainable transport and reduce congestion. It also aims to manage travel behaviour and demand for transport to reduce the rate of road traffic growth.

Braintree District Council intends to bring about a shift away from car use towards public transport, walking and cycling, by ensuring urban extensions are linked to the urban structure through pedestrian and cycling routes and a high standard of public transport both locally and area wide. Through the Livewell Campaign talks are given to schools to encourage physical activity including walking to school and other means of active transport.

Through the planning process sustainable travel is encouraged through the requirement for travel plans from major developments, employers and institutions.

Traffic and car parking will be carefully managed to encourage sustainable travel. The promotion of community based initiatives such as car pools, car sharing and voluntary mini- bus services will be included in travel plans.

Highway improvements are required to provide for the future development of Halstead, Braintree and Witham. The preferred route for the new A120 route has been announced (with funding to be confirmed). Essex County Council are undertaking an air quality assessment as part of the project planning. Braintree District Council is supporting improvements to the road layout in the vicinity of the existing A120 Galleys roundabout (adding slip roads to and from A120) which is subject to congestion and will facilitate access to and from Braintree's out of town retail outlet shopping centre. There are also improvements proposed to the A12 which runs to the south of the District which will improve relevant exposure to sensitive receptors as well as proposed improvements to the A131 in the North of the District.

Through development controls Braintree District Council will ensure the appropriate protection from air pollution through careful siting of sensitive receptors and polluting sources. To encourage the use of low emission vehicles the provision of electric charging points is required through the planning process. Increasing electric charging provision is part of the Climate Local Strategy of Braintree District Council.

As well as preventing deterioration of and providing improvements to the air quality of the District through development control Braintree District Council has a regulatory role under local air pollution control legislation and prevention of localised nuisance emissions to air through the statutory nuisance legislation (Environmental Protection Act 1990) and Environmental Permitting Regulations 2016 (as amended).

Braintree District Council also has Climate Change initiatives which promote greener transport and low emission energy sources encouraging the use of low emission alternatives. The Council also actively supports residents to reduce energy consumption, insulate their houses etc all of which contributes to a reduction in the release of air pollutants.

See Table 2.2 for details of actions being undertaken.

Conclusions and Priorities

A possible exceedance of the air quality objective level for NO₂ was identified at Head Street Halstead at ground floor level. At this location there is derelict first floor residential property. Further diffusion tube monitoring will continue during 2019 to assess whether the exceedance of short term and annual mean objective are likely in the area of Colchester Road, Head Street roundabout. Braintree District Council will consider the need to declare an AQMA and the extent of that AQMA area and formulate an action plan to reduce NO₂ levels. The challenge is that the elevated level occurs on the main route through Halstead and currently there is no practicable alternative route for that traffic flow.

Aside from the new monitoring point in Halstead then in the past five years the general trend of NO₂ is downwards and all results below the air quality objective level as shown in Appendix B. The elevated single diffusion tube result in Halstead indicates that even though the Braintree District comprises small towns and large rural areas, good air quality is not guaranteed and as the District further develops to meet housing need there will be the inevitable increase in the volume of road traffic resulting in increased levels of pollution along our major routes and points of traffic congestion before low emission transport and road layout and flow changes (if practicable) can provide any reduction in pollutant concentrations.

Local Engagement and How to get Involved

Braintree District Council is a member of the Essex Air Quality Group (a group of representatives for Essex local authorities including Essex County Council (highways and Public Health) and other interested parties (e.g. Stansted Airport and University of Essex). <u>http://www.essexair.org.uk/</u>contains information about Essex air quality. <u>https://www.cleanairday.org.uk/</u> provide advice on how the public can get involved.

Members of the public are encouraged to comment on planning applications and the new local plan.

Any queries about air quality matters or related strategies may be sent to the Public Health and Housing Team. Email– PublicHealthandHousingTeam@braintree.gov.uk

Table of Contents

Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Areai
Air Quality in Braintree Districti
Actions to Improve Air Qualityii
Conclusions and Prioritiesiv
Local Engagement and How to get Involvedv
1 Local Air Quality Management
2 Actions to Improve Air Quality
2.1 Air Quality Management Areas
2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Braintree District 4
2.3 PM _{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or
Concentrations
3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality
Objectives and National Compliance10
3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken10
3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites
3.2 Individual Pollutants10
3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)10
Appendix A: Monitoring Results
Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2018
Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring
Data QA/QC
Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs 20
Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England
Glossary of Terms
References
List of Tables
Table 2.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality
Table A.2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites100Table A.3 – Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results112Table B.1 – NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 201813

1 Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in Braintree District during 2018. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by Braintree District to improve air quality and any progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in **Error! Reference source not found.** in Appendix E.

2 Actions to Improve Air Quality

2.1 Air Quality Management Areas

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of compliance with the objectives.

Braintree District Council currently does not have any AQMAs. For reference, a map of Braintree District's monitoring locations is available in Appendix D.

Braintree District Council will consider the declaration of a new AQMA in Halstead area (see monitoring section).

2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Braintree District Council

Defra's appraisal of last year's ASR concluded as follows:-

The report is well structured, detailed, and provides the information specified in the Guidance. The following comments are designed to help inform future reports.

1. There has been some progress in implementing and completing measures over the last year. However the status of many measures is unclear. The Council are encouraged to review their list of measures, ideally including target dates, objective KPIs, reduction targets, and discussion of progress/challenges. This will help the Council to objectively review the effectiveness of each measure. For further guidance please refer to LAQM Technical Guidance 16 (TG16)

2. The Council state they plan to expand their monitoring programme over the next reporting period to understand the impact of a number of developments, or to provide a better reflection of current emissions. This decision is supported.

3. Furthermore, the Council may wish to redeploy existing resources, from sites that have experienced historically low concentrations.

4. Example calculations have been provided which are useful and encouraged for all future reports.

5. Generally air quality in the District is good and in general NO2 concentrations have been decreasing over the past 5 years. The Council should continue their hard work to ensure that future developments do not negatively impact local air quality.

The main criticism of the report is that where measures have been included in Table 2.2 of the previous report, the measures are open ended with no clear targets or assessment of the progress/challenges of implementation.

Braintree District Council does implement measures which have an impact of offsetting the effects of air pollution in the district but has not quantified these measures and to date given that no AQMA has been declared has not needed to formulate an action plan to reduce levels at a specific site.

In the next year the Braintree District Council will confirm the extent of any AQMA in Halstead based on the ongoing monitoring. An action plan will need to be developed to determine measures that need to be implemented to reduce exposure to road traffic pollutants within an AQMA.

Other ongoing measures to improve air quality generally across the district are set out in

Table 2.1. Braintree District Council will proactively assess how the impact of these measures in terms of reduction of pollutants/emissions may be quantified and how various Braintree District Council Departments (Development Control, Health and Wellbeing, Braintree Energy Action/ Climate Team, Taxi Licensing, Procurement, Fleet management etc) can improve outcomes of the measures listed.

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
1	Local Plan specific to AQ impact assessment (e.g provision of EV charging points and measures to offset adverse impact)	Policy Guidance and Developm ent Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	LA Environmental Health, LA Transport Dept.	consultation	awaiting approval	n/a	No AQMA - not quantified	Implementation on- going	2019	Awaiting approval of local plan
2	Provision of air impact assessment and mitigation for construction and demolition stage by developers	Policy Guidance and Developm ent Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	LA Environmental Health	In place	Ongoing	n/a	No AQMA - not quantified	ongoing	ongoing	need to standardise process
3	Provision of travel plans through planning process	Promoting Travel Alternative s	Other	LA Environmental Health, LA Transport Dept.	In place	Ongoing	n/a	No AQMA - not quantified	ongoing	ongoing	None
4	Enforcement and inspection of pollution industry through environment al permitting and statutory nuisance legislation	Environme ntal Permits	Other measure through permit systems and economic instruments	LA	In place	Ongoing	local/national	n/a	good level of compliance	ongoing	None

Table 2.1 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
5	Biomass/co mbustion chimney height assessment s	Environme ntal Permits	Measures to reduce pollution through IPPC Permits going beyond BAT		In place	Ongoing	n/a	No AQMA - not quantified	Implementation on- going	ongoing	few in number annually
6	Implementati on of climate change strategy	Promoting Low Emission Plant	Other	LA Climate Change and ECC	In place	Ongoing	n/a	n/a	complete	ongoing	CHP at local fitness centre, provision of 5 public EV Charging points, solar panels on public buildings
7	Live well campaign	Promoting Travel Alternative S	Promotion of cycling	LA Health Protection with other partners	In place	Ongoing	n/a	n/a	ongoing	ongoing	also to include promotion of walking /combined with planning policy to facilitate safe walking and cycling routes
8	"Routine attendance of Essex Air Quality Consortiumd erivation of Essex wide policy	Policy Guidance and Developm ent Control	Other	LA Environmental Health, LA Transport Dept.	In place	Ongoing	n/a	n/a	ongoing	ongoing	Essex Air website as public information source
11	Adopted road traffic act powers to require switching off of engines	Traffic Managem ent	Anti-idling enforcement	LA Environmental Health	In place	Ongoing	n/a	n/a	ongoing	ongoing	used as educational tool
12	Requirement for new licensed taxi s to be less than 5 years old on first licensing	Promoting Low Emission Transport	Taxi Licensing conditions	LA licensing	In place	ongoing	n/a	n/a	ongoing	ongoing	Intention to review policy

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
13	Encouraging staff to use lower emission vehicles through leased car and cycle schemes	Promoting Low Emission Transport	Company Vehicle Procurement - Prioritising uptake of low emission vehicles	LA Climate Change	In place	Ongoing	n/a	approx 15 vehcile uptake for lease vehicles	ongoing	ongoing	
14	The Council will continue to promote alternatives to domestic bonfires. We will encourage residents to recycle or compost as much waste as possible or dispose of it responsibly at a civic amenity site and free LA collection of green waste.	Public Informatio n	Via other mechanisms	ECC & BDC	In place	ongoing	Number of complaints relating to domestic bonfires	Usually via reactive complaints, with records maintained	Advisory Letters reviewed and updated	Ongoing statutory duty	
15	Climate change working group	Other	Reduce atmospheric emissions	group of elected members and representatives of the business community, key partners, academic experts and community groups with interest and experience in this area	Group to be formed in October 2019	Planning	Reduction in atmospheric emission's	All atmospheric pollutants	Planning stage	Action plan delivered to Cabinet April 2020	Will be presented by climate change working group within report

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
16	School travel plans	Promoting Travel Alternative s	School Travel Plans	BDC & ECC	In place in some schools	Part of the healthy schools initiative	% children travelling to school by Active transport	SHUE Survey Results 2018	80% of primary schools have an active travel policy	April 2020	

2.3 PM_{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of PM_{2.5} (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less). There is clear evidence that PM_{2.5} has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

There is no regulatory standard applied to PM 2.5 (for local authorities in England) to require action to reduce emissions or concentrations of fine particulate air pollution, although action to tackle PM10/NOx would usually contribute to this. The EU Ambient Air Quality Directive does however set out air quality standards for PM 2.5 including an exposure reduction obligation for urban background, a target value and a limit value. The target annual mean value is given as 25µg/m3 although the aim should be for all local authorities to reduce and minimise levels of PM2.5 even where there is compliance with the target values.

The National Local Air Quality Technical guidance (TG 16 paragraph 2.57) states that it is estimated that as much as 40% to 50% of the PM2.5 levels found in any given area can be from sources outside a local authority's direct boundary.

An area where more work is required is communicating with the public about air pollution, (AQ1010 Final Report13) this is referred to in the TG16 guidance which promotes more active stakeholder engagement.

The Public Health Outcomes Framework introduced a PM2.5 indicator "fraction of adult mortality attributed to particulate air pollution. The information for Braintree indicates that the level is average when compared to the results in the Eastern region with no increasing trend.

Braintree District Council does not have any smoke control areas within its district. It does however limit particulate emissions through industrial process regulation, waste enforcement, prevention of burning through waste enforcement and statutory nuisance investigations and restrictions on planning consents during site clearance and construction processes.

Sustainable travel and reduction of congestion is promoted through the planning process and local Highways Panels which can reduce emissions from brakes and tyres.

Braintree District Council will continue to review air quality and focus on reducing and preventing air pollution (including PM2.5) through the planning regime, air pollution and regulatory control, traffic management with Highways assistance and various local initiatives including but not exclusively the Climate Change and Livewell campaigns as shown in Table 2.2.

3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

This section sets out what monitoring has taken place and how it compares with national objectives.

Braintree District Council has no automatic (continuous) monitoring sites.

NB. Local authorities do not have to report annually on the pollutants: 1,3 butadiene, benzene, carbon monoxide, and lead, unless local circumstances indicate otherwise as the objective levels have typically been met and are well within limit values for Local Authorities in England and Wales.

3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Braintree District Council undertook non- automatic (passive) monitoring of NO₂ at 12 sites during 2018. Table A.12 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D.

Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) for the diffusion tubes, including bias adjustments and any other adjustments applied (e.g. "annualisation" and/or distance correction), are included in Appendix C.

3.2 Individual Pollutants

The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for bias, "annualisation" and distance correction. Further details on adjustments are provided in Appendix C. The need to adjust the results is to ensure the concentration of NO₂ is represented at the point of which a resident will be exposed to the pollutant. Many of the tubes are located on street furniture above head height.

3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Table A.2 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO₂ annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of 40µg/m³.

For diffusion tubes, the full 2018 dataset of monthly mean values is provided in Appendix B.

All results clearly demonstrate compliance EXCEPT for the site at Halstead (BR14).

For this site there is a possible exceedance of the short term pollutant objective for NO2 as the annual monitored level is 59.9 μ g/m3. It is positioned roadside on a post with 3m to the façade of a commercial premises. There is a residential property at the neighbouring commercial premises at first floor level and therefore there will be some dispersion to reduce levels. However the monitoring position is on a pavement and therefore there is risk of exposure to persons using that pavement hence the requirement to consider the short term objective level. It is also necessary to consider exceedance of the annual mean of 40μ g/m³ at relevant locations (residential facades) and declaration of an AQMA. Six further diffusion tubes have been placed in the area from January 2019 to inform this process.

During previous years DEFRA had expressed concern about the possible exceedance at BR5 site, Chipping Hill Witham. The concentration level in Table A.3 in Appendix A shows that there is reduction of the NO2 annual mean concentration at the Chipping Hill Site (BR5) for 2018. As this site it may be distance corrected and derives 33.0µg/m3 and is therefore not within 10% of the annual mean air quality objective of 40µg/m3. As stated previously, the location of the BR5 tube is likely to represent the highest concentration on the road bridge (above the railway), given that the traffic will queue as travelling towards the mini roundabout (connecting to Collingwood Road and The Avenue). Braintree District Council accepts that the Chipping Hill bridge location is not at a point of representative exposure and has placed another diffusion tube in the immediate area at a residential facade during 2019. Provisional results are indicating compliance at the residential façade and will be fully reported in the next annual status report.

Appendix A: Monitoring Results

Table A.1 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Polluta nts Monitor ed	In AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) ⁽¹⁾	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) ⁽²⁾	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
BR1	Blandford House London Road Braintree	Roadside	575600	222900	NO2	NO	6	1.2	NO	2
BR3	Foxden A12 Rivenhall	Roadside	583859	216497	NO2	NO	19	2	NO	1.8
BR4	Beckers Green Road	Urban Backgroun d	577800	222500	NO2	NO	12.2	8.3	NO	2
BR5	Chipping Hill Bridge	Roadside	582002	215111	NO2	NO	7	2	NO	1.9
BR6	Railway Street/Victoria St	Roadside	576204	222958	NO2	NO	4	2	NO	2
BR7	Stilemans Wood	Roadside	577680	221964	NO2	NO	20	9	NO	1.8
BR9	Hotel Rivenhall	Roadside	583891	216467	NO2	NO	10	1.5	NO	1.8
BR11	High Street Kelvedon	Roadside	58386	219106	NO2	NO	0	3.5	NO	1.9
BR12	The Street Bradwell	Roadside	580625	223115	NO2	NO	11.7	2.9	NO	1.8
BR13	Bridge Street, Witham	Roadside	214151	581851	NO2	NO	0	1	NO	1.9
BR14	11 Head Street, Halstead	Kerbside	230738	581542	NO2	NO	3	0.5	NO	1.9
BR15	23 Colchester Road, Halstead	Roadside	230699	581592	NO2	NO	0	2	NO	1.9

Notes:

(1) Om if the monitoring site is at a location of exposure (e.g. installed on/adjacent to the façade of a residential property).(2) N/A if not applicable.

Site ID		Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	NO ₂ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m³) ⁽³⁾				
Sile iD	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) ⁽¹⁾	2018 (%) ⁽²⁾	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
BR1	Roadside	Diffusion	100	100	<u>34.7</u>	29.8	31	31	28.2
BR3	Roadside	Diffusion	100	92	<u>52.1</u>	47.1	46.4	51.9	46.1
BR4	Urban background	Diffusion	100	100	<u>19.8</u>	15.9	17.3	18.3	16.2
BR5	Roadside	Diffusion	100	100	<u>38.8</u>	40.8	45.9	45.3	40.4
BR6	Roadside	Diffusion	100	100	<u>29.2</u>	22.8	23.2	24.6	22.9
BR7	Roadside	Diffusion	100	100	<u>33.5</u>	30.5	28.3	31.6	29.2
BR9	Roadside	Diffusion	100	100	<u>43.6</u>	43.9	46.3	46.1	40.7
BR10	Roadside	Diffusion	100	100	<u>47.7</u>	46	46.1	45.5	23.1
BR11	Roadside	Diffusion	100	75	<u>27.9</u>	27.3	30.1	27.1	25.9
BR13	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	83	-				33
BR14	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	100	92	_				59.9
BR15	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	67	_				20

Table A.2 – Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

 \boxtimes Diffusion tube data has been bias corrected

 \boxtimes Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

Notes:

Exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40µg/m³ are shown in **bold**.

NO₂ annual means exceeding 60µg/m³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO₂ 1-hour mean objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias. All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2018

Table B.1 – NO₂ Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2018

							NO ₂ Mea	an Conce	entration	s (µg/m³)					
														Annual Mea	In
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Νον	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (f0.76) and Annualised ⁽¹⁾	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure (2)
BR1	43.1	40.9	39.1	39.4	32.0	26.5	30.6	30.9	37.1	42.7	39.7	43.6	37.1	28.2	21.5
BR3	74.0	65.6	66.9	66.8	45.1	42.7	63.4	59.1	66.2	49.4	63.5	65.2	60.7	46.1	29.6
BR4	21.8	28.7	24.5	22.5	15.6	11.9	15.8	17.8	20.7	24.4	26.5	26.1	21.4	16.2	15.7
BR5	60.8	48.1	52	57.3	47.9	34.3	51.9	59.2	64.3	62.4	55.9	43.4	53.1	40.4	33.0
BR6	38.3	34.8	32.8	32.8	28	20.9	25	24.5	26.3	33.2	31.3	34.2	30.2	22.9	n/a
BR7	36.8	39.5	41.4	46	31.3	26.3	43.4	40.4	36.4	32.3	47.5	39.3	38.3	29.2	24.4
BR9	38.3	67.5	56.7	60.4	54	42.9	54.4	53.9	52.7	59.7	52.4	49.3	53.5	40.7	29.9
BR11	38.3	27	35.5	31.6	28.6	24.2	24.5	27.2	27.8	33.3	32.7	33.7	30.4	23.1	23.1
BR12	29.2	28	42.3	11.8	42.3	30.9	33.6	38.6	37.7	41.3	33	40.6	34.1	25.9	20.5
BR13			34.5	50	42.4	36.9	47.2	40.3	42.9	45.5	49.2	45.6	43.5	33.0	33.0
BR14		73.5	76	94.8	79.9	67.8	68.1	80	77	85.9	85.2	79.1	78.8	59.9	n/a
BR15					29.4	21.7	22.4	24.6	23.9	29.6	28.9	30.2	26.3	20.0	23.1

□ Local bias adjustment factor used

☑ National bias adjustment factor used

☐ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

 \boxtimes Where applicable, data has been distance corrected for relevant exposure

Notes:

Exceedances of the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40µg/m³ are shown in **bold**.

NO2 annual means exceeding 60µg/m³, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO2 1-hour mean objective are shown in bold and underlined.

(1) See Appendix C for details on bias adjustment and annualisation.

(2) Distance corrected to nearest relevant public exposure.

Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

The nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes are prepared and analysed by Socotec formerly known as Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG Didcot). The preparation method uses 50% triethanolamine (TEA) in acetone. The UKAS testing laboratory number is 1015.

AIR is an independent analytical proficiency-testing (PT) scheme, operated by LGC Standards and supported by the Health and Safety Laboratory (HSL). AIR PT is a new scheme, started in April 2014, which combined two long running quality assurance schemes.

AIR offers a number of test samples designed to test the proficiency of laboratories undertaking analysis of chemical pollutants in ambient indoor, stack and workplace air. One such sample is the AIR NO2 test sample type that is distributed to participants in a quarterly basis.

Every guarter, roughly January, April, July and October each year, each laboratory receives four diffusion tubes doped with an amount of nitrite, known to LGC Standards, but not the participants. At least two of the tubes are usually duplicates, which enables precision, as well as accuracy, to be assessed. The masses of nitrite on the spiked tubes are different each guarter, and reflect the typical analytical range encountered in actual NO2 ambient monitoring in the UK

The results shown in the table below from the LAQM website

https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/assets/laqmno2performancedatauptofebruary2019v1.pdf indicate that SOCOTEC meets a satisfactory standard for analysis.

Fig C.1 AIR PT –Performance Results for SOCOTEC

The following table lists tho	se UK labora	tories undert	aking LAQM	activities that	have partici	pated in rece	ent AIR NO2	PT rounds a
percentage (%) of results s	ubmitted which	ch were subs	equently dete	ermined to be	satisfactor	y based upo	n a z-score o	of $\leq \pm 2$ as de
AIR PT Round	AIR PT AR019	AIR PT AR021	AIR PT AR022	AIR PT AR024	AIR PT AR025	AIR PT AR027	AIR PT AR028	AIR PT AR030
Round conducted in the period	April – May 2017	July – August 2017	September – October 2017	January – February 2018	April – May 2018	July – August 2018	September – October 2018	January – February 2019
Aberdeen Scientific Services	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	75 %
Cardiff Scientific Services	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]
Edinburgh Scientific Services	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
SOCOTEC	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	87.5 % [1]
Exova (formerly Clyde Analytical)	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]
Glasgow Scientific Services	50 %	0 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	50 %	100 %	100 %
Gradko International [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 % [1]	100 %	100 %	100 %	75 %
Kent Scientific Services	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]
Kirklees MBC	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]
Lambeth Scientific Services	NR [2]	NR [2]	100 %	NR [2]	NR [2]	NR [2]	25 %	50 %
Milton Keynes Council	75 %	0 %	75 %	100 %	75 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
Northampton Borough Council	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]	NR [3]
Somerset Scientific Services	100 %	100 %	75 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
South Yorkshire Air Quality Samplers	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
Staffordshire County Council	100 %	100 %	100 %	50 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
Tayside Scientific Services (formerly Dundee CC)	NR [2]	100 %	NR [2]	100 %	NR [2]	100 %	NR [2]	100 %
West Yorkshire Analytical Services	100 %	100 %	100 %	50 %	75 %	100 %	100 %	100 %

Table 1: Laboratory summary performance for AIR NO2 PT rounds AR0019, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28 and 30

In Prancipant subscribed to two sets of test results (2 x 4 test samples) in each AIR PT round.
 [2] NR No results reported
 [3] Northampton Borough Council, Kent Scientific Services, Cardiff Scientific Services, Kirklees MBC and Exova (formerly Clyde Analytical) no longer carry out NO2 diffusion
 tube monitoring and therefore did not submit results.

Diffusion tube bias adjustment factor

The diffusion tube bias adjustment factor is derived from the national data base on the LAQM website. This allows correction of diffusion tube results to account for inherent inaccuracies of the diffusion tube method. The factor is derived by comparing continuous analyser results with SOCOTEC diffusion tube results collocated alongside the continuous analysers operated across the UK by various local authorities. The bias adjustment factor has been determined as 0.76 for year 2018.

Fig C.2 National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor **Spreadsheet**

В	C	D	E	F	H		J	ĸ	L	M
National Diffusion Tub	e Bias Adiu	stment	Fac	tor Spreadsheet			Spreads	heet Ver	sion Numbe	er: 03/19
Follow the steps below in the correct ord Data only apply to tubes exposed monthly Whenever presenting adjusted data, you a Dis percentees adjusted data, you a	er to show the result and are not suitable should state the adjus	s of <u>relevant</u> c for correcting i stment factor u	o-locat ndividu sed ar	ion studies Jal short-term monitoring periods Id the version of the spreadsheet blies to change. This should not disco	urago thoir	immodiato us		This upda	spreadshe ted at the ei 2019	et will be nd of June
The LAQM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of D partners AECOM and the National Physical Lab	efra and the Devolved A pratory.	dministrations b	y Burea	au Veritas, in conjunction with contract	Spreadshe compiled b	eet maintained by Air Quality C	o. I by the Nationa onsultants Ltd	al Physic I.	al Laborato	ry. Origina
Step 1:	Step 2:	Step 3:			S	tep 4:				
Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your Tube Select a Preparation Select a Year from the Drop-Down List Method from the Drop- Down List Select a Preparation Method from the Drop- Down List If a baboratory is not down, we have no data for this laboratory. A preparation method is or his method statis Na preparation method is down, we have no data for this laboratory. Na preparation method is down, we have no data for this laboratory. If a year is not down, we have no data for this laboratory. If a year is not down If you have your own co-location study then see footnote ⁴ . If uncertain what to do then contact the Local Air Quality Management Heipdesk at LAQMHeipdesk@uk.bureauveritas.com or 0800 0327953										
Analysed By ¹	Method Tax sdayaurzele stian, shaaze SII) fram the pap-tup list	Year ⁶ To undo your relection, choose (All)	Site Type	Local Authority	Length of Study (months)	Diffusion Tube Mean Conc. (Dm) (µg/m³)	Automatic Monitor Mean Conc. (Cm) (µg/m ³)	Bias (B)	Tube Precision ®	Bias Adjustmo Factor (/ (Cm/Dn
SOCOTEC Dideot	50% TEA in acetone	2018	R	Cambridge City Council	12	42	30	40.2/	G	0.71
COORTEO D. L.	EOsz TEA in an above	2019	P		1 11	38	28	35.8%	G	0.74
SULUTEL Dideot	50% TEA In acetone	2010		Canterbury Lity Council				00.071	_	
SOCOTEC Didoot	50% TEA in acetone	2018	UB	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council	12	16	12	36.3%	G	0.73
SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018	UB	Canterbury Lity Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council	12 12	16 21	12 18	36.3%	G	0.73
SUCUTEL Dideot SOCOTEC Dideot SOCOTEC Dideot SOCOTEC Dideot	50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council	12 12 12 12	16 21 34	12 18 29	36.3% 20.8% 17.9%	G G G	0.73 0.83 0.85
SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipsvich Borough Council City of York Council	12 12 12 12 12	16 21 34 41	12 18 29 27	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2%	G G G	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65
SUCUTE Dideot SOCOTEC Dideot	50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council	12 12 12 12 12 12	16 21 34 41 22	12 18 29 27 15	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0%	G G G G G	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66
SUCDIE Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council	12 12 12 12 12 12 11 11	16 21 34 41 22 34 30	12 18 29 27 15 26 23	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8%	G G G G G G C	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76
SUCUTE Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	50% TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dity of York Council City of York Council	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 12 11	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9%	6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83
SUCUTE Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	50%. TEA in acetone 50%. TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council lpsvich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dumfrites and Galloway Council Evon deu MBC	12 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 11 12 11 12 12	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5%	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79
SUCDIE Dideot SOCOTEC DI	50%. TEA in acetone 50% TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dumfries and Galloway Council Knowsley MBC Suffolk Coastal DC	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 12 12 1	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4%	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76
SUCUTE Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	307. TEA'n acetone 507. TEA'n acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Durfries and Gallow ay Council Know sley MBC Suffak Coastal DC Thaner District Council	112 12 12 12 12 12 11 11 12 11 12 12 11 11	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4%	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80
SUCUTE Dideot SOCOTEC Dideot	307. TEAIn acetone 507. TEAIn acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council lpsvich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dumfries and Galloway Council Norw sley MBC Suffolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council	112 12 12 12 12 12 11 12 12 11 12 12 12	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 33 21 23	36.3% 20.8% 17.3% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.3% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4% 42.2%	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.70
SUCUTE Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	307. TEA'n acetone 507. TEA'n acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council lipsvich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dumfries and Gallow ay Council Knowsky MBC Suffolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council	12 12 12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 12 12 12 1	36 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 33	12 18 23 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21 23 23	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4% 42.2% 17.2%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.76 0.75 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.70 0.80 0.70
SUCDTEC Didoot SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SOCOTEC SO	307. TEA'n acetone 507. TEA'n acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R B UB R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dity of York Council Durfries and Galloway Council Knowsley MBC Suffolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council	12 12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 12 11 12 12 1	36 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 33 30	12 18 23 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21 23 23 25 26	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4% 42.2% 17.2% 16.1%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.85 0.85
SUCUTE Didoot SOCOTEC DICO	307. TEA'n acetone 507. TEA'n acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R R R UB	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council [swich Borough Council City of York Council Dumfries and Gallovay Council Knowsley MBC Suffolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Sough Borough Council Sough Sorough Council	12 12 12 12 12 11 11 12 11 12 12 11 11 1	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 38	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21 23 23 29 23 29 26 31	36.3% 20.8% 17.3% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4% 25.4% 17.2% 16.1% 25.6%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.70 0.80 0.70 0.86 0.80
SUCUTE Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	307. TEA'n asetone 507. TEA'n asetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R R R SU	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipsvich Borough Council City of York Council Durnfries and Gallow ay Council Know sley MBC Suffolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Slough Borough Council Slough Borough Council Slough Borough Council Slough Borough Council	12 12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 10 11 12 13 10 11 12 10 11 12 10 11	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 30 33 30 38 32	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21 23 29 26 31 22	36.3% 20.8% 17.3% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.9% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.6% 42.2% 17.2% 16.1% 25.6% 46.7%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.70 0.85 0.86 0.80
SUCDIEC Didoot SUCDIEC DI	307. TEA'n acetone 507. TEA'n acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R B B R B R R R R R R R R R SU SU R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Ipswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Dumfries and Galloway Council Knowsley MBC Sulfolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Slough Borough Council Slough Borough Council Slough Borough Council Slough Borough Council	12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 11 11	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 30 38 32 33	12 18 29 27 15 28 23 30 38 33 21 23 23 29 26 31 22 32	36.3% 20.8% 17.3% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.3% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4% 42.2% 17.2% 16.1% 25.6% 46.7% 22.5%	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.73 0.83 0.65 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.85 0.86 0.86 0.82
SUCDIEC Didoot SOCOTEC Didoot	307. IEA in acetone 507. TEA in acetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R B B R B R R R R R R R R S U B S U B S U B S U B S U B S U B S U B R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	Lanerbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Igswich Borough Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council City of York Council Durnfries and Gallovay Council Norshay MBC Suffolk Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Slough Borough Council	12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 10 11 12 10 11 12 10 11 12	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 33 33 30 38 32 39 39 39	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21 23 29 26 31 22 28 31 22 25	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.3% 19.8% 26.5% 25.4% 42.2% 17.2% 16.1% 25.6% 442.2% 17.2% 16.1% 25.6% 46.7% 57.8%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.73 0.83 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.8
SUCUTEC Dideot SOCOTEC Dideot SOCOTE	307. TEA'n asetone 507. TEA'n asetone	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	UB R R UB R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	Canterbury City Council Canterbury City Council Hambleton District Council Igswich Borough Council City of York Council Council City of York Council Nor slay MBC Suffick Coastal DC Thanet District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Horsham District Council Slough Borough Council Marylebone Road Intercomparison	12 12 12 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 13 10 11 12 13 3	16 21 34 41 22 34 30 36 47 44 26 33 30 38 32 39 95	12 18 29 27 15 26 23 30 38 33 21 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 25 87	36.3% 20.8% 17.9% 54.2% 54.2% 52.0% 30.8% 32.3% 19.8% 26.5% 32.4% 25.4% 42.2% 17.2% 16.1% 25.6% 42.5% 57.8% 57.8% 3.1%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.73 0.83 0.85 0.65 0.66 0.76 0.75 0.83 0.79 0.76 0.80 0.70 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.88 0.88

Groups.

Distance correction calculations

The distance correction calculations are given below for the relevant monitoring sites using the LAQM NO₂ Fall-off with Distance Calculator *Version 4.2) (https://lagm.defra.gov.uk/tools-monitoring-data/no2-falloff.html)

Fig C.3a distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR1 Blandford House, Braintree

B U R E A		Enter data into the pink cells
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	1.2 metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	6 metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μ g/m ³)?	8.3 μg/m ³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μ g/m ³)?	28.2 µg/m ³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO_2 concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$) at your receptor	21.5 µg/m ³

Fig C.3b distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR3 Foxden A12 Rivenhall

B U R E VERIT	A U AS Enter data into the pink cells
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)? 2 metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)? 19 metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)? 14.8 µg/m ³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)? 46.1 µg/m ³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³) at your receptor 29.6 µg/m ³

Fig C.3c distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR4 Beckers Green Braintree

B U R E V E R I T	A U A S Enter d	ata into the pink cells
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	8.3 metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	12.2 metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μ g/m ³)?	12.5 μg/m ³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)?	16.2 μg/m ³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m³) at your receptor	15.7 μg/m ³

н

Fig C.3d distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR5 Chipping Hill Witham

BURE VERIT		Enter da	nta into the	e pink cel
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?		2	metro
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?		7	metro
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO_2 concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$)?		15.3	} μg/m
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μ g/m ³)?		40.4	μg/m
Result	The predicted annual mean NO_2 concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$) at your receptor		33.0) µg/m

Fig C.3e distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR7 Stilemans Wood Braintree

BURE		<u>Enter da</u>	ta into the pink	cells
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?		9 m	netres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?		20 m	netres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO_2 concentration (in μ g/m ³)?		12.5 µ	g/m ³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)?		29.2 µ	g/m ³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO_2 concentration (in µg/m ³) at your receptor		24.4 µ	g/m ³

Fig C.3f distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR9 Rivenhall Hotel A12

B U R E V E R I T		Enter dat	ta into the pi	<u>nk cells</u>
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?		1.5	metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?		10	metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)?		14.8	µg/m³
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in μ g/m ³)?		40.7	μg/m ³
Result	The predicted annual mean NO_2 concentration (in μ g/m ³) at your receptor		29.9	μg/m ³

Fig C.3g distance correction calculation for monitoring site BR12 The Street Bradwell

BUREAU VERITAS	Enter data	a into the pink	cells
Step 1 Hov	v far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	2.9 r	netres
Step 2 Hov	v far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?	11.7 r	netres
Step 3 What	at is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in μ g/m ³)?	10.9	.ıg/m³
Step 4 What	at is your measured annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³)?	25.9	.ıg/m³
Result The	predicted annual mean NO₂ concentration (in μg/m³) at your receptor	20.5 F	.g/m ³

Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs





Title: Map D2 Halstead Diffusion Tubes

Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective ⁴		
Follutant	Concentration	Measured as	
Nitrogen Dioxide	200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean	
(NO_2)	40 μg/m ³	Annual mean	
Particulate Matter	50 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean	
(FIVI10)	40 μg/m ³	Annual mean	
	350 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean	
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	125 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean	
	266 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean	

 $^{^4}$ The units are in microgrammes of pollutant per cubic metre of air (µg/m³).

Glossary of Terms

Abbreviation	Description
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values'
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives
ASR	Air quality Annual Status Report
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – Air quality screening tool produced by Highways England
EU	European Union
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides
PM ₁₀	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less
PM _{2.5}	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide

References

- 1) <u>Air Quality Review and Assessment Website</u>
- 2) Defra (2016) Local Air Quality Management, Technical Guidance (TG16)
- 3) Braintree District Councils, Draft Local Plan www.braintree.gov.uk