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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

1.1.1 In July 2007 Braintree District Council commissioned Chris Blandford Associates (CBA) to prepare a detailed landscape capacity analysis (at 1:10,000 scale) of the fringes of eight key settlements to provide an evidence base for informing the preferred options stage of the Core Strategy for the Local Development Framework (LDF). The study has been informed by the following:-

- The Braintree, Brentwood, Chelmsford, Maldon and Uttlesford Landscape Character Assessment (at 1:25,000 scale), which was prepared by CBA in September 2006.
- Historic landscape characterisation data provided by Essex County Council

1.1.2 The eight key settlements that were selected by Braintree District Council as having the potential for expansion are as follows:

- Braintree and environs (including Bocking Churchstreet, Rayne, Great Notley, Black Notley and Cressing)
- Witham
- Halstead
- Silver End
- Hatfield Peverel
- Earls Colne
- Coggeshall
- Kelveden

1.1.3 The locations of these settlements are identified at Figure 1.

1.2 Study Purpose and Objectives

1.2.1 The key objectives of the Study are to:

- provide a transparent, consistent and objective assessment of the sensitivity and capacity of the around the selected eight settlements to accommodate new development;
identify areas where new development could best be accommodated without
unacceptable adverse landscape and visual impacts.

1.2.2 This report sets out the findings of the survey and analysis work for Coggeshall.

1.3 Approach and Methodology

1.3.1 The general approach of the Study has been informed by the Countryside Agency’s
‘Landscape Character Assessment – Guidance for England and Scotland: Topic
Paper 6 – Techniques and Criteria for Judging Capacity and Sensitivity’ and by
other landscape capacity studies undertaken by CBA. The methodology used to
make judgements about landscape capacity and sensitivity is set out in Appendix A
to this report.

1.3.2 For the purposes of this study, landscape sensitivity and capacity are defined as
follows:

- **Landscape Sensitivity** – ‘The extent to which a landscape type or area can
  accept change of a particular type and scale without unacceptable adverse
effects on its character’. (Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental
Management and Assessment 2002), based on judgements about landscape
character sensitivity and visual sensitivity.

- **Landscape Capacity** – The relative ability of the landscape to accommodate
  new urban development without unacceptable adverse impacts, taking account of
  appropriate mitigation measures. It is a reflection of the interaction between (i)
  the inherent sensitivity or vulnerability of the landscape resource itself and (ii)
  the value attached to the landscape or specific elements.

1.3.3 The Study has used desk-based and field survey analysis to identify discrete
‘Landscape Setting Areas’, which have been primarily defined by the approximate
extent of visibility for each settlement fringe, at a scale of 1:10,000. Each area has
been analysed in terms of its visual, ecological and cultural sensitivity, taking into
account the following three key factors (Refer to Appendix B for the Field Survey
Sheet used for this study):

- **Landscape Sensitivity** – ‘The extent to which a landscape type or area can
  accept change of a particular type and scale without unacceptable adverse
effects on its character’. (Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental
Management and Assessment 2002), based on judgements about landscape
character sensitivity and visual sensitivity.

- **Landscape Capacity** – The relative ability of the landscape to accommodate
  new urban development without unacceptable adverse impacts, taking account of
  appropriate mitigation measures. It is a reflection of the interaction between (i)
  the inherent sensitivity or vulnerability of the landscape resource itself and (ii)
  the value attached to the landscape or specific elements.
• Landscape Character – the range of natural, cultural and aesthetic factors that are unique to the setting area, and its overall landscape quality / condition;

• Visual Characteristics (Visual Prominence and Intervisibility) – the extent to which an area has prominent topography and/or is widely visible from surrounding areas, as well as its contribution to distinctive settlement setting e.g. the extent to which an area has distinctive backdrops, distinctive approaches/gateways, visually important woodland and trees, prominent skyline/ridgeline views, landmarks, urban edge description, green corridor linkages to the countryside, allows critical outward and inward views.

• Landscape Value – highlight existing national and/or local designations relating to each landscape setting area and any other criteria indicating landscape value e.g. tranquillity, remoteness, wildness, scenic beauty, cultural associations, conservation interests.

1.3.4 In order to assess the sensitivity of the landscape to development, assumptions have been made as to the likely form of any new built residential or employment development. It has been assumed that buildings would be either detached, semi-detached or terraced buildings, mostly 2 or 3 storeys in height. A strong structure of tree/shrub planting would be provided of an appropriate scale, extent and design to help ensure that the development sits well in the landscape. Employment buildings are likely to be large in scale, some 10 to 12 metres high, and again it has been assumed that these would be developed with an appropriate structure of tree/shrub planting to help integrate the buildings into the local landscape. It has not been possible at this stage in the LDF preparation process to make assumptions about the quantum of development required within the study area.

1.3.5 The assessment has comprised the following tasks:

(i) Desk Based Analysis

• Preparation of base maps for each settlement;

• Review of the relevant Landscape Character Types and Areas around each settlement from the Braintree District Landscape Character Assessment at the 1:25,000 contextual scale, and review of the intrinsic landscape qualities, sensitivities/vulnerabilities to change and guidelines as defined by the landscape character area study.
• Mapping of landscape features (vegetation, landform, key heritage features, water bodies/courses, etc.) and wildlife sites/heritage conservation designations. This has been based in part by data mapped in the Braintree District Landscape Character Assessment and from other available sources of data, such as the Essex Historic Landscape Characterisation datasets.

• Defining landscape setting areas by mapping the approximate extent of potential visibility of each settlement fringe (i.e. Landscape Setting Areas) derived from analysis of topography and woodland/tree cover mapping.

• Broadly defining and mapping the townscape character of each settlement at 1:10,000 scale to determine how it has developed and to identify the main features and areas that contribute to the built character of the settlement (e.g. greenspace, built form, viewing experience etc.)

(ii) Field Survey Analysis

• Identifying the extent of the landscape setting area for each settlement, based on the approximate extent of visibility of the settlement fringe, involving the validation and refinement of the preliminary ‘Landscape Setting Areas’ as necessary. A variety of open and partial views of the settlement fringe may be obtained from within each landscape setting area. It is possible that additional views may be obtained from outside the setting area but, in these cases, the settlement fringe would represent a significantly reduced component of these views compared to those obtained within the setting area. For example, glimpsed views may be obtained through or above trees/shrubs on the edge of the setting area or distant views may be obtained from elevated land located some distance beyond a setting area).

• Identifying and recording key views into and out of each settlement;

• Identifying and recording positive and negative qualities/features that contribute to the Landscape Setting Areas around each settlement – such as skylines/ridgelines, landmarks, visually important trees and woodland, distinctive approaches, tranquil areas, urban edges, green corridors/ ‘bridges’ to the countryside, urban and urban fringe land uses/activities, etc.

• Identifying and recording strategic opportunities for creating a strong landscape framework to mitigate development impact on landscape character and visual amenity through developing green networks, tree and woodland planting and other landscape enhancements.
(iii) Analysis/Reporting
Assessing the sensitivity/vulnerability of positive landscape qualities that contribute to the Landscape Setting Areas around each settlement to loss or alteration by development.

- Based on the assessment criteria set out in Appendix A, the capacity of each Landscape Setting Area to accommodate new housing and employment development has been identified. Opportunities have also been identified where housing and employment development would be least constrained in landscape and visual terms.

- Preparing a concise report setting out the purpose, methodology, main findings and recommendations as to the capacity of the fringes of each settlement to inform consideration of the general directions of growth to be included in the preferred options for the Core Strategy.

1.3.6 The extent of the study areas around each settlement broadly reflects the extent of visibility of each settlement fringe.
2.0 STUDY CONTEXT

2.1 Planning Policy Context

2.1.1 National planning policy relating to landscape sensitivity and capacity is contained in PPS1 and PPS7.

Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development

2.1.2 PPS1 sets out the Government’s overarching planning policies on the delivery of sustainable development through the planning system. It states that one of the Government’s objectives for the planning system is that planning should facilitate and promote sustainable urban and rural development by protecting and enhancing the natural and historic environment and the quality and character of the countryside (para 5). In its key principles, PPS1 states that ‘a spatial planning approach should be at the heart of planning for sustainable development’ (para 13.iii) and ‘design which fails to take the opportunities for improving the character and quality of an area should not be accepted’ (para 13.iv). When preparing development plans ‘planning authorities should seek to enhance as well as protect biodiversity, natural habitats, the historic environment and landscape and townscape character’ (para 27). PPS1 also requires new design to be integrated into the existing urban form and natural and built environments (para 35).

Planning Policy Statement 7: Sustainable Development in Rural Areas

2.1.3 The policies in this statement apply to the rural areas, including country towns and villages and the wider, largely undeveloped countryside up to the fringes of larger urban areas. Two of the key objectives in PPS7 include the delivery of sustainable patterns of development and sustainable communities in rural areas. In its key principles, PPS7 states that ‘All development in rural areas should be well designed and inclusive, in keeping and scale with its location, and sensitive to the character of the countryside and local distinctiveness’ (para 1.vi). PPS7 advises that Planning authorities should continue to ensure that the quality and character of the wider countryside is protected and, where possible, enhanced (para 15).

2 Planning Policy Statement 7 : Sustainable Development in Rural Areas (ODPM, 2004).
**Regional Planning Context**

2.1.4 The East of England Regional Assembly (EERA) is currently producing the 'East of England Plan'. Once finalised this will guide planning and transportation policy up to 2021 and provide the statutory framework for local authorities such as Braintree District Council to produce more detailed local development plans for their areas. Also known as the 'Regional Spatial Strategy' (RSS), the Plan has a key role in contributing to the development of the region.

2.1.5 The RSS is important to Braintree District in that it will determine where development will take place. More specifically, it will consider the amount of housing and employment land needed for each District up to 2021. It will formulate the Regional Transport Strategy which has implications for the local road and rail network, and other forms of transport. It will include policies on the environment, energy and waste, sport and tourism.

2.1.6 Policy H1 of the RSS includes guidance for annual average provision for net additional dwellings within the East of England. The strategy requires Essex to achieve an annual average rate of 5330 net additional dwellings between 2006 to March 2021.

**Local Planning Context**

2.1.7 Braintree District Local Plan Review, was adopted by the Council on 25th July 2005 and provides a framework for the development of the Braintree District. The Plan will shape the development of the District in the period up to 2011, or until it is replaced in whole, or part, by the Local Development Framework documents as they are produced.

2.1.8 One of the key elements of the Plan recognises Braintree, Witham and to a lesser extent, Halstead, as the primary settlements to concentrate new development. In respect to the RSS and the Essex & Southend-on-Sea Replacement Structure Plan, the Plan aims to achieve completion of 10,300 net dwelling in the District between 1996 and 2011; of which, 60% is targeted for completion on undeveloped land. In addition, the Local Plan Review stresses the importance of protecting the character of rural areas, which include areas that are sensitive to change.

2.1.9 This study looks at capacity for new development within the urban fringes of the eight selected settlements and will contribute to the evidence base that will inform
the Core Strategy, which is a Development Plan Document forming part of the Local Development Framework. The Core Strategy sets out the spatial vision, spatial objectives and strategy for the development of the District.

2.2 **Landform and Drainage** (See Figure 2)

2.2.1 Landform within the Braintree District is predominantly elevated gently rolling Boulder Clay/Chalky Til plateau landscape, incised by v-shaped or u-shaped shallow river valleys, which cut through flat or gently undulating valley floor. The Colne, Blackwater, Pant and Stour river valleys are major landscape features, comprising locally significant scenic qualities.

2.2.2 The meandering River Colne runs in a southeasterly direction through Halstead, towards Colchester. It is characterized by a shallow river valley with relatively steep valley sides, which varies in width throughout its length. There is a dense network of roads dissecting the river valley and bridging the river itself, providing access and creating interesting views along the river corridor.

2.2.3 The River Blackwater meanders from Braintree through Stisted, Bradwell, Coggeshall, Coggeshall Hamlet, Feering, Kelvedon, near Witham, Wickham Bishops, Langford to Beeleigh where it meets the Chelmer. As the river meanders down the valley, the valley floor becomes more wooded especially south of Coggeshall. The valley floor has large settlements such as Braintree and Witham along industries centered on the river such as watermills. Many of these mills have been converted to tourist attractions but maintain original features.

2.2.4 The River Stour is one of the County’s earliest navigable rivers forms most of the County boundary between Essex and Suffolk. Running in a southerly direction through a wide pastoral and wooded valley in the north of the District, the river is an important recreational asset and forms a key focal point throughout the valley and surrounding slopes.

2.3 **Landscape Character**

2.3.1 A large proportion of the rural area in Braintree District consists of distinctive and attractive landscapes, which derive their intrinsic quality from a combination of
This map is based on Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty’s Stationary Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Braintree District Council OS Licence No. 100018490.
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natural and cultural features including topography, vegetation cover, river systems and historic features.

2.3.2 The Braintree, Brentwood, Chelmsford, Maldon and Uttlesford Landscape Character Assessment was undertaken to inform land use planning and land management decisions. The Study involved the combination of desk study research and field survey analysis that identified and mapped generic Landscape Types and geographically unique Landscape Character Areas at 1:25,000 scale.

2.3.3 Three different Landscape Character Types were identified in Braintree District: River Valley Landscapes, Farmland Plateau and Wooded Farmland Landscapes; all of which contribute to a varied landscape setting to settlements within the district.

2.3.4 The character of the landscape with Braintree district is predominantly elevated gently rolling Boulder Clay/Chalky Til plateau landscape, incised by shallow river valleys, which create subtle variety. Although the valleys are not prominent in terms height or steepness, they provide a distinct contrast to the flat or gently undulating landscape generally characteristic of the valley floor. The Valleys also give rise to variations in land use, such as traditional grazing pastures in the floodplain and arable cultivation on the drier slopes. Such variations contribute to the visual interest of the landscape setting to settlements within the District.

2.3.5 Many of District’s settlements are multi-period in date and some have been occupied more or less continuously since the Late Iron Age or Roman period. However, they can be broken down into the following main period groupings:-

- The Roman towns fall into two groups; those founded on sites previously occupied in the Late Iron Age and those that appear to have been ‘greenfield’ sites. They are nearly all at important points on the communications network, and often take the form of ribbon development along a routeway with little planned internal layout.
- The Saxon towns fall into two groups, those that were founded as *burhs* by Edward the Elder at the beginning of the 10th century and those that were monastic foundations. The Domesday Book shows that many of the medieval towns were thriving villages by the end of the Saxon period, although not necessarily urban in character.
• The medieval towns are mainly small market towns, but within that group there are variations on this theme. A number of medieval towns failed to develop in the later medieval and early post-medieval, and are now no more than villages, whilst others prospered and grew.

2.3.6 Essex is fortunate in the quality of its historic towns, particularly in regard to the built environment. Many still retain a definite ‘historic’ identity and show clearly the stages of their development through the centuries. The key stages in the evolution of Coggeshall are illustrated on Figure 3.

2.3.7 The historic landscape setting to Halstead and the form and character of surrounding settlements in the District are strongly related, both having developed over many centuries in response to changing patterns of land use. A mixture of settlement sizes characterise the District from farmsteads to large sprawling settlements with modern extensions and industrial units and derelict water mills. Settlements are generally aligned along the river, with some clustering at crossing-places, as at Earls Colne, Halstead, Sible Hedingham and Great Yeldham. On the valley sides, traditional small settlements and isolated farmsteads with limited modern development occur. Church towers, traditional villages, farmsteads, barns and mills form distinctive features. Away from the larger settlements, there is an overall sense of tranquility, with a network of quiet rural lanes and public rights of way winding through the landscape.

2.3.8 The vernacular architecture of settlements present important features in the landscape, including timber frames, colour wash walls and thatched roofs found along the river valley floor as well as the top of the valley sides. Ancient churches within small settlements or isolated amongst farmlands are a key characteristic of the district. Halls are often associated with villages such at Black Notley, Bocking Churchstreet, Maplestead Hall and Twinstead Hall, contributing to the character and overall strong sense of place within the area. The villages and hall provide landmarks in the views across the farmland. However, in some cases, the integrity of vernacular buildings is diluted by more recent encroaching developments.

2.3.9 The woodlands are a strong and unifying characteristic in the District, with blocks of mature mixed and deciduous woodland (including areas of ancient and semi-natural woodland); copses, hedges and mature single trees. Visibility within the District is commonly influenced by a combination of topography and woodland...
distribution. For example, views west of Halstead tend to be framed by the hedges and woodland, creating a mixture of enclosed and open views, with some distant channelled views to Halstead.

2.3.10 Trees, hedgerows and woodland make a significant and positive contribution to the appearance of the landscape in the strategy area. They help to break up extensive tracts of land into a more human scale, thus creating greater visual interest. They also provide valuable screening for new developments, allowing better integration with the existing landscape. This is particularly important in the open and plateau landscape, characteristic of many parts of the District.
3.0 LANDSCAPE SENSITIVITY AND VALUE

Introduction
This section sets out an assessment of the sensitivities and value of various landscape setting areas immediately surrounding the fringes of Coggeshall. A set of Settlement Fringe Analysis Plans have been prepared to help identify key landscape, visual, heritage and ecological issues that are relevant to each Landscape Setting Area. The extent of each analysis plan is illustrated at Figure C0: Settlement Fringe Analysis Overview Plan.

3.1 LANDSCAPE SETTING AREA C1 (Refer to Landscape Assessment Figure C1)

3.1.1 Location
This setting area abuts the northeastern edge of Coggeshall.

Landscape and Visual Baseline

3.1.2 Landform and Drainage
- A gently rolling landform, which slopes down southwards towards the River Blackwater, which passes along southern edge of Coggeshall, and westwards to Robin’s Brook (a tributary of the River Blackwater);
- Drainage ditches line field boundaries in places.

3.1.3 Land Uses
- Arable fields with scattered farmsteads form the dominant land use;
- Several mixed, woodland plantations near Robin’s Brook;
- The A120 dissects the setting area as it curves round to the north and east of Coggeshall.

3.1.4 Vegetation
- Field hedgerows with occasional mature trees in varying condition;
- Mature tree/shrub belts along the A120 and Tey Road;
- Mixed woodland plantations set back from Robin’s Brook;
3.1.5 Access
- A good public footpath network provides north-south and east-west linkages;
- National Trail passes through southern part of the area;
- Due to the slightly elevated position of the landscape setting area with regard to Coggeshall, the B1204 and Tey Road form distinctive approaches.

3.1.6 Settlement Edge
- The north-eastern fringe of Coggeshall includes predominantly modern terraced and semi-detached housing, with a variety of property boundary treatments, including clipped hedges and wooden fences, with occasional tall garden vegetation;
- Several small arable fields form indentations of open space along the settlement edge;
- Some sections of relatively modern housing have fairly abrupt edges, with little rear garden trees and shrubs;
- School on northern edge of settlement, and an industrial estate on southern edge of A120/B1026 junction with little peripheral vegetation.

3.1.7 Visual Appraisal
- School, just west of Tey Road, is well screened by tree/shrub belt;
- The large-scale, white buildings of the industrial estate are prominent in views towards the settlement;
- Robust tree/shrub belts alongside A120 tend to enclose views of settlement from viewing positions north of highway;
- Rear garden vegetation partially encloses some views of settlement edge from viewing positions south of A120;
- Occasional glimpses of traffic and signage associated with the A120;
- Good intervisibility between upper parts of area and elevated land within Landscape Setting Areas C2 and C3;
- Upper parts of valley tend to enclose views to north and east;
- Small field pattern to east of Coggeshall and south of A120 generally restricts views in places, in contrast with the open views north of the A120.
Evaluation

3.1.8 Landscape Character Sensitivity
- Medium landscape character sensitivity to south of A120 as area has strong rural character, the vegetation structure is generally robust and in good condition but with land to south of A120 providing low to moderate contribution to surrounding landscape;
- Sensitivity is increased to north of A120, with enhanced rurality of the landscape, the presence of three pre-18th century field enclosures and greater contribution to surrounding landscapes;
- Sensitivity is decreased by presence of the Industrial Estate;
- The B1024 and Tey Road are well-vegetated and form distinctive approaches to the northeastern edge of Coggeshall.

3.1.9 Visual Sensitivity
- Medium visual sensitivity to south and west of A120, where robust roadside tree/shrub belts tend to enclose and filter views of the settlement edge from rising land to the north and west of the A120;
- Sensitivity increases north of the A120, where the elevated landform is visually prominent in the surrounding landscape.

3.1.10 Landscape Value
There are no landscape designations within the setting area. However, other indicators of landscape value are a moderate sense of tranquillity and a good footpath network, including a National Trail running through eastern part of the setting area.
### 3.1.11 Summary of C1 Landscape Sensitivities and Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium landscape character sensitivity to south of A120 as area has strong rural character, the vegetation structure is generally robust and in good condition but with land to south of A120 providing low to moderate contribution to surrounding landscape</td>
<td>Medium visual sensitivity to south and west of A120, where robust roadside tree/shrub belts tend to enclose and filter views of the settlement edge from rising land to the north and west of the A120.</td>
<td>Medium value due to moderate sense of tranquillity and a good footpath network, including a National Trail running through eastern part of the setting area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medium Medium Medium
3.2 LANDSCAPE SETTING AREA C2 (Refer to Landscape Assessment Figure C2)

3.2.1 Location
Landscape setting area C2 is located along the southeastern edge of Coggeshall, extending from the B1024 in the east to Coggeshall Road in the west.

Landscape and Visual Baseline

3.2.2 Landform and Drainage
- The landform comprises the northern, western and eastern sideslopes of the Blackwater Valley, which descend gently down to the river as it meanders along the southern edge of the settlement before heading to the south-east;
- Occasional ponds;
- Several drainage ditches line field boundaries.

3.2.3 Land Uses
- Land use is dominated by a patchwork of arable and pastoral (with some horse grazing) farmland, with pasture concentrated close to settlement edge;
- An overhead powerline passes through centre of area;
- Sewage works and reservoir in southern part of area;
- Abbey Lane, which provides access to land in vicinity of Cistercian Abbey, passes through centre of area;
- River Blackwater passes through northern part of area;
- Mill and ruins of Cistercian Abbey in centre of area.

3.2.4 Vegetation
- A robust tree/shrub belt runs along the River Blackwater;
- Typically robust field boundary hedgerows, but fragmented in places;
- Small woodland copses near river and on lower slopes of valley;
- Rear garden vegetation associated with houses along Coggeshall Road and B1024;
- Robust hedgerows along Ferring Road.
3.2.5 **Access**
- A National Trail crosses the setting area, connecting Coggeshall Road and the B1024, via Monk House;
- Several other public footpaths run through the area, including one alongside the river.

3.2.6 **Settlement Edge**
- Coggeshall’s southern settlement edge comprises a variety of detached, semi-detached and terraced housing, of varying age and style, including some timber-framed houses along Coggeshall Road;
- Ribbon development (a mix of traditional and modern) along Coggeshall Road;
- The settlement edge is generally well integrated into the landscape by the tree/shrub belts along the River Blackwater and by the varied style and vernacular character of many of its buildings.

**Evaluation**

3.2.7 **Visual Appraisal**
- From the National Trail, views towards southern settlement edge are substantially enclosed in summer views by trees and shrubs along the River Blackwater and include views of church steeple in centre of Coggeshall;
- Relatively open views may be obtained of housing along Coggeshall Road, where rear garden vegetation provides relatively little screening and wooden fences separate the gardens from the adjacent fields;
- Robust vegetation along field boundaries in places, contributing to moderate to high levels of visual enclosure and further limiting views to and from settlement;
- Views throughout the area are strongly influenced by the vegetation along the River Blackwater and by the rising topography, which together serve to restrict views.

3.2.8 **Landscape Character Sensitivity**
- High sensitivity overall due to its strong rural character, its small-scale and semi-enclosed nature, its strength of semi-natural vegetation along the river, its belt of pre-18th and 18th-19th century field enclosures next to the settlement edge, its listed buildings and ancient monuments (Long Bridge and Cistercian Abbey) and its contribution to the historic setting of settlement;
• Strong sense of time-depth provided by remains of 12th century Abbey and by old buildings along settlement edge.

3.2.9 **Visual Sensitivity**

• The visual sensitivity of the area is medium to high overall - although the area is visually well contained due to topography and its strong vegetation structure, it has many visually sensitive features (e.g. listed buildings and Cistercian ruins);
• The eastern side of the Blackwater Valley, is visually prominent in views from within the area and from the settlement edge;
• Coggeshall church steeple is visible in many views towards the settlement.

3.2.10 **Landscape Value**

The setting area contains many valued components, including:

• Special Landscape Area designation;
• Cistercian Abbey and Grange Barn (associated with the Abbey);
• Strong sense of tranquillity overall;
• Strong network of public footpaths including a National Trail;
• Numerous listed buildings in vicinity of Cistercian Abbey;
• Long Bridge and land near Monks House as Ancient Monuments;
• A conservation area that extends southwards from the built-up area, across fields to Abbey Lane and to the Cistercian Abbey, and further southwards along the river corridor.
### 3.2.11 Summary of C2 Landscape Sensitivities and Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High sensitivity overall due to its strong rural character, its small-scale and semi-enclosed nature, its strength of semi-natural vegetation along the river, its belt of pre-18th and 18th-19th century field enclosures next to the settlement edge, its listed buildings and ancient monuments and its contribution to the historic setting of settlement.</td>
<td>The visual sensitivity of the area is medium to high overall - although the area is visually well contained due to topography and its strong vegetation structure, it has many visually sensitive features (e.g. listed buildings and Cistercian ruins).</td>
<td>High value on account of its Special Landscape Area, its ancient monuments and listed buildings, its strong sense of tranquillity, its strong network of public footpaths including a National Trail and its conservation area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High | Medium to high | High |
3.3 LANDSCAPE SETTING AREA C3 (Refer to Landscape Assessment Figure C2)

3.3.1 Location
This setting area abuts the southwestern edge of Coggeshall, extending south and southeast from West Street (B1024) to Coggeshall Road, which forms its eastern edge.

Landscape and Visual Baseline

3.3.2 Landform and Drainage
- The landform comprises the northern, southern and western sideslopes of the Blackwater Valley, which descend gently to the River Blackwater as it meanders along the southern edge of the settlement;
- Several drainage ditches line field boundaries.

3.3.3 Land Uses
- Land use is predominantly arable farmland;
- Garden centre along southern edge of West Street;
- River Blackwater passes through northern parts of area;
- Cricket ground and football ground along West Street (B1024);
- Isolated groups of historic factory buildings along West Street in vicinity of West Street.

3.3.4 Vegetation
- Robust tree/shrub belts and woodland along the River Blackwater;
- Robust hedgerows along field boundaries in places.

3.3.5 Access
- A National Trail traverses lower southern slopes of Blackwater Valley, allowing for pedestrian movement through the valley and providing a connection with Coggeshall;
- In addition, several public footpaths connect West Street with the National Trail.
3.3.6 Settlement Edge

- The settlement fringe is highly varied with various indentations and consisting of traditional detached, semi-detached and terraced housing of varying style and age;
- Ribbon development along both Coggeshall Road and West Street;
- Some relatively modern housing, a farmstead and garden centre along West Street;
- Group of historic factory buildings alongside West Street;
- Grange Barn, a 13th century timber-framed barn, along western edge of Coggeshall Road;
- Trees and shrubs along the River Blackwater, in combination with rear garden vegetation, contribute to a generally well-integrated settlement edge.

Evaluation

3.3.7 Visual Appraisal

- Robust vegetation along the River Blackwater allows occasional glimpses to varied housing along settlement edge, seen typically against a well-treed backdrop and with glimpses of church steeple;
- Robust field boundary hedgerows in places, contributing to a sense of enclosure and limiting views to and from settlement;
- Filtered views of ribbon development along Coggeshall Road;
- Views throughout the area are strongly influenced by the vegetation belts along the River Blackwater and by the rising topography, which combine to restrict views;
- Partial intervisibility between upper parts of area and elevated land in Landscape Setting Areas C1 and C4.

3.3.8 Landscape Character Sensitivity

- Medium to high sensitivity overall due to its strength of rural character, its robust tree/shrub vegetation along River Blackwater, and its historic contribution to the setting of Coggeshall;
- Traditional style and varying age of a large proportion of the housing contribute to the rich rural character of the area;
- Occasional pre-18th and 18th – 19th century field enclosures
- Strong vegetation structure in the area with hedgerows in good condition overall;
• A strong sense of tranquillity.

3.3.9 Visual Sensitivity

• Glimpsed and partial views of varied housing along settlement edge seen through and to the side of robust vegetation along the River Blackwater;
• Arable fields seen against horizon in views to west but with well-wooded horizon to north;
• Fields on upper southern part of valley are visually prominent in views from the wider landscape and from parts of southern settlement edge;
• Coggeshall church is visible in views from within the setting area;

3.3.10 Landscape Value

River Blackwater and adjacent tree/shrub belts and woodland copses have been designated as a County Wildlife Site. Other valued components in the landscape include:

• Special Landscape Area designation;
• Various listed buildings along West Street and Kelevden Road, including Grange Barn, a 13th century monastic barn;
• The National Trail and several other public footpaths;
• Strong sense of tranquillity;
• Area abuts conservation area.
### 3.3.11 Summary of C3 Landscape Sensitivities and Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium to high sensitivity overall due to its strength of rural character, its robust tree/shrub vegetation along River Blackwater, and its historic contribution to the setting of Coggeshall.</td>
<td>Medium to high visual sensitivity overall due to enclosure provided by robust vegetation along the River Blackwater and partial intervisibility between upper parts of area and elevated land in Landscape Setting Areas C1 and C4.</td>
<td>Medium to high value overall due to its Special Landscape Area designation, County Wildlife Site, listed buildings, a National Trail and other public footpaths, a strong sense of tranquillity and its proximity to the conservation area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 LANDSCAPE SETTING AREA C4 (Refer to Landscape Assessment Figure C1)

3.4.1 Location
This setting area abuts the northwestern edge of Coggeshall and extends northwards from West Street to meet the edge of Tilkey on the north-eastern edge of the area.

Landscape and Visual Baseline

3.4.2 Landform and Drainage
- Landform comprises the northern side of the valley that encloses the River Blackwater and western side of the valley that encloses Robin’s Brook, with the watercourses meeting in the southern part of the settlement;
- Occasional small ponds.

3.4.3 Land Uses
- Predominantly arable farmland, with some pockets of rough grassland in close proximity to the settlement edge;
- The A120 dissects the setting area as it curves north and west of Coggeshall;
- Woodland alongside Robin’s Brook.

3.4.4 Vegetation
- Gate House Spinney and Kettleys Spinney to northwest of the A120;
- Robust tree/shrub belts and woodland along Robin’s Brook;
- Robust hedgerows with mature trees along Ambridge Road;
- Clipped hawthorn hedge along A120, with occasional mature trees;
- Tree groups associated with Highfields Farm;
- Occasional mature trees scattered in rough grassland near settlement edge;
- Field boundaries typically demarcated by tall, usually robust, hedgerows, but with loss of hedgerows in many parts.

3.4.5 Access
- A good network of public footpaths, which extend out from the settlement and continue upslope beyond the A120.
3.4.6 Settlement Edge
- The settlement fringe of Coggeshall comprises predominantly terraced and semi-detached modern housing but with older terrace housing along West Street (part of historic core of settlement) and some Victorian buildings either side of Ambridge Road;
- Group of historic factory buildings and houses alongside West Street, north of garden centre.

3.4.7 Visual Appraisal
- Settlement edge is generally well enclosed by a deciduous, woodland belt alongside Robin’s Brook, but with a mixture of filtered and partial views of housing;
- Partial views across lower parts of setting area obtained from settlement but truncated by trees and shrubs alongside A120 to northwest;
- Partial intervisibility between upper parts of area and elevated land in Landscape Setting Areas C1 and C3.

Evaluation

3.4.8 Landscape Character Sensitivity
- Medium landscape character sensitivity overall due to moderate strength of rural character, semi-natural vegetation along Robin’s Brook and sense of time depth associated with old buildings at Highlands Farm and along West Street, but with loss of hedgerows in many parts;
- A120 highway corridor fragments the unity of the area;
- Low sense of tranquillity as a result of traffic movement on the A120, which detracts from the rural character of the area.

3.4.9 Visual Sensitivity
- Existing settlement edge generally well enclosed by tree/shrub vegetation along Robin’s Brook;
- Visual sensitivity is medium to high overall as area is visually prominent in views from Landscape Setting Areas C1 and C3;
- Sensitivity is increased due to the large-scale nature of the area, with the availability of medium and long-distance views.
3.4.10 **Landscape Value**
- Special Landscape Area designation;
- Woodland associated with Robin’s Brook has been designated as a County Wildlife Site;
- Good footpath network;
- Several listed buildings associated with Highlands Farm and with group of historic factory buildings north of garden centre;
- Area abuts conservation area along West Street.

3.4.11 **Summary of C4 Landscape Sensitivities and Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium landscape character sensitivity overall due to moderate strength of rural character, semi-natural vegetation along Robin’s Brook and sense of time depth associated with old buildings at Highlands Farm and along West Street, but with loss of hedgerows in many parts.</td>
<td>Medium to high visual sensitivity overall due to enclosure provided by robust vegetation along Robin’s Brook and visual prominence in views from Landscape Setting Areas C1 and C3;</td>
<td>Medium to high value due to Special Landscape Area designation, County Wildlife Site, good footpath network, listed buildings and proximity to conservation area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.0 LANDSCAPE CAPACITY EVALUATION

4.1 Landscape capacity refers to the degree to which a particular landscape is able to accommodate change without significant effects on its character. Reaching conclusions about capacity means making a judgement about whether the amount of change proposed can be accommodated without having unacceptable adverse effects on the character of the landscape (related to *landscape character sensitivity*), or the way that it is perceived (related to *visual sensitivity*), and without compromising the values attached to it (related to *landscape value*). Landscape capacity is the function of landscape character sensitivity, plus visual sensitivity, plus landscape value.

4.2 This section of the report considers the capacity of each Landscape Setting Area to accommodate a settlement extension.

4.3 The levels of landscape character sensitivity, visual sensitivity and landscape value for each Landscape Setting Area, as identified in Section 3.0, are set out in Table 4.1 below. The level of landscape capacity for each of these Landscape Setting Areas is also identified in this table using the matrices provided in Appendix A: Methodology for Judging Landscape Capacity.

Table 4.1: Schedule of Landscape Sensitivities, Landscape Value and Landscape Capacity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Setting Area</th>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
<th>Landscape Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Two of the Landscape Setting Areas around Coggeshall have a ‘Low to Medium’ landscape capacity, one has a ‘Low’ capacity and one has a ‘Medium’ capacity as
set out in Table 4.1 and illustrated on Figure C3: Landscape Capacity Evaluation Plan. These capacity levels are indicators of the likely amount of change, in terms of built development, which a particular landscape setting area can accommodate without having unacceptable adverse effects on the character of a landscape, or the way that is perceived, and without compromising the values attached to it.

4.5 Landscape capacity is a complex issue and it may be possible that a certain amount of appropriately located and well-designed built development may be quite acceptable even in a moderately sensitive and highly valued landscape. Potential opportunities for incorporating new built development around Coggeshall are limited. However, there might be opportunities for any necessary residential or employment development to be accommodated along the northern and eastern edges of the settlement, between the A120 and the blocks of modern housing on the settlement edges, providing that robust belts of trees and shrubs are provided to help integrate any expanded settlement into the local landscape.

4.6 These potential opportunities would need to be verified through a more detailed assessment of the setting areas. New tree/shrub belts should be particularly robust if land is to accommodate new employment development. Any development in these setting areas would need to be consistent with the form and scale of the existing settlement fringe.

4.7 Opportunities for helping accommodate built development within Landscape Setting Area C1 also include enhancing local hedgerow structures, providing additional tree/shrub planting to help soften the appearance of some fringes of the settlement and building in local vernacular style. Opportunities should also be taken to develop landscape recreational corridors alongside the River Blackwater and Robin’s Brook, which pass through Landscape Setting Areas C1, C2, C3 and C4.
5.0 CONCLUSIONS

5.1 In accordance with the purpose and objectives of the study set out in the introduction to this report, the principal application of this landscape capacity study is to assist Braintree District Council in identifying a broad strategy for housing and employment development in the District and in directing this development to areas of higher landscape capacity.

5.2 The landscape capacity appraisal, which has been based on the findings of the landscape sensitivity and landscape value analysis, has identified a range of Landscape Setting Areas that could accommodate varying degrees of change in the form of new built development. Areas with low to medium landscape capacity or above could, in landscape and visual terms, accommodate some level of new development without significant adverse effects on the character of the landscape, providing that appropriate design and mitigation measures are put in place. Any such new development would need to be in scale with the existing settlement. This new development would need to respect the character and sensitivities of adjacent landscapes as well as the character, setting and form of the existing settlement fringe.

5.3 It should be noted that levels of landscape capacity may not be uniform across any one landscape setting area. Where capacity for development within any one landscape setting areas varies, proposals would need to respond to site-specific constraints. In such cases, development proposals should respond to the inherent landscape sensitivity of the setting area and take account of both its setting and potential impacts on the surrounding landscape.

5.4 Setting areas with low or low to medium landscape capacity may contain locations that are suitable in landscape and visual terms, for limited development (e.g. minor settlement extensions). The landscapes are typically small in scale and have, at least, a moderate amount of visual enclosure.

5.5 It is recommended that development briefs should be prepared for all sites that are identified in the Core Strategy as having capacity for development. These briefs should take account of the setting area appraisals, identifying:-
• Landscape features or characteristics that give an area its special identity and local distinctiveness;
• Measures to protect and enhance the character of adjacent landscape setting areas, particularly high sensitivity landscapes;
• Measures to protect or enhance these landscape features and characteristics.

5.6 These landscape sensitivities and landscape values identified in the above assessments should inform the land use distribution and masterplanning process, so as to reinforce local landscape distinctiveness, minimise landscape impacts and build, in a consistent form, on the existing settlement pattern. In particular, they should inform the evolution of the development proposals and preparation of strategic landscape strategies so that they provide:

• A landscape strategy which is consistent with local landscape character, taking into account identified landscape sensitivities.
• A land use strategy and built form, which is characteristic of, and compatible with the existing settlement pattern, where appropriate.
• Proposals which avoid landscape and visual impacts on surrounding landscape setting areas or the setting to the District's landscape and heritage assets, and
• Development proposals which have regard for the setting of, and separation between, existing settlements.

5.7 Finally, reference should be made to the land management guidelines identified in the Braintree, Brentwood, Chelmsford, Maldon and Uttlesford Landscape Character Assessment. These management guidelines are prescriptive in nature and respond to local landscape character. They provide a robust basis for detailed landscape proposals, which should be prepared to accompany any new development proposals.
APPENDIX A

METHODOLOGY FOR JUDGING LANDSCAPE CAPACITY
METHODOLOGY FOR JUDGING LANDSCAPE CAPACITY

A1.0 Methodology for Judging Landscape Capacity

Landscape capacity to accommodate the proposed change is a function of landscape character sensitivity, plus visual sensitivity, plus landscape value. Reaching conclusions about capacity means making a judgement about whether the amount of change proposed can be accommodated without having unacceptable adverse effects on the character of the landscape (related to landscape character sensitivity), or the way that it is perceived (related to visual sensitivity), and without compromising the values attached to it (related to landscape value).

A1.1 Landscape Character Sensitivity

Landscape sensitivity is defined as ‘the extent to which a landscape type or area can accept change of a particular type and scale without unacceptable adverse effects on its character’. (Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment 2002). It is based on judgements about the sensitivity of aspects most likely to be affected:

Natural factors – extent and pattern of semi-natural habitat
Cultural factors – land use, enclosure pattern
Landscape condition – representation of typical character
Aesthetic factors – e.g. scale, enclosure, pattern form/line, movement

The sensitivities of the landscapes have been assessed using the following five-point scale and corresponding definitions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>A landscape or landscape features of low sensitivity potentially tolerant of substantial change. This landscape is likely to have moderate to low levels of semi-natural vegetation and/or historic integrity, and few intrinsic landscape/townscape qualities. The loss or alteration of these qualities/features is likely to have only limited effects on the distinctiveness of the settlement’s landscape setting. There is significant scope for enhancement of these landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape Character Sensitivity</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qualities/features through good design and layout of development schemes. (e.g. developed or derelict landscape setting where new development could be accommodated without adversely affecting character).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to medium</td>
<td>Between low and medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>A landscape or landscape features of moderate sensitivity reasonably tolerant of change. This landscape is likely to have moderate levels of semi-natural vegetation and/or mixed historic integrity, and some intrinsic landscape/townscape qualities. The loss or alteration of these qualities/features is likely to partially erode the distinctiveness of the settlement’s landscape setting. These landscape qualities/features are considered desirable to safeguard from development through sensitive location, design and layout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Between medium and high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>A landscape or landscape feature of particularly distinctive character susceptible to relatively small change. This landscape is likely to have high levels of semi-natural vegetation and/or strong historic integrity and thus low recreatability, and many intrinsic landscape qualities. The loss or alteration of these qualities/features is likely to significantly erode the distinctiveness of the settlement’s landscape setting. Those landscape qualities/features that are considered desirable to safeguard from development. (e.g. rural landscape with few uncharacteristic or detracting man-made features where new development could not be accommodated without adversely affecting character).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A1.2 Landscape Value**

Landscape value is concerned with the relative value that is attached to different landscapes. In a policy context the usual basis for recognising certain highly valued landscapes is through the application of a local or national designation. Yet a landscape may be valued by different communities of interest for many different reasons without formal designation, recognising, for example, perceptual aspects such as scenic beauty, tranquillity or wildness; special cultural associations; the influence and presence of other conservation interests; or the existence of a consensus about importance, either nationally or locally. In the context of this study a professional judgement has been made on the value of the landscape within the setting of a zone, giving consideration to, for example, sites or areas designated for their landscape value.
Designations which are most relevant to this study are those which are related to protection of landscape or buildings partially or wholly for their contribution to the landscape. There are no national or regional designations in the study area. However, locally designated landscape or features include Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Conservation Areas, and Listed Buildings. Other designations, which are important components of the landscape and contribute towards landscape value, but are not protected for their contribution to the landscape, include nature conservations sites (e.g. ancient woodland) and ancient monuments.

As part of the judgement of landscape value lies in the views of communities of interest, and obtaining these views is not part of this study, in all cases landscape value is evaluated as medium unless there is an obvious reason to give a higher or lower value (e.g. elevate because of a landscape designation, or lower because of a high degree of disturbance and degradation). An indicator of higher landscape value is the extent of public rights of way within any particular landscape. The value of the landscapes has been assessed using the following five-point scale and corresponding definitions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No relevant designations. Degraded or possibly derelict landscape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to medium</td>
<td>Between low and medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>All landscapes unless there is an obvious reason to give a higher or lower value. The zone lies within, or within the setting of, a relevant local designation but it is not considered that development would adversely affect it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Between medium and high.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>The zone lies within, or within the setting of, a relevant local designation and it is considered that development would adversely affect it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A1.3 Visual Sensitivity

Visual sensitivity is based on the nature of change proposed and its interaction with visual aspects of the landscape. It is based on:

Nature of potential change – considering factors such as height, massing, colour, movement and how it would blend in with or contrast with other elements in its
setting. In the case of this study professional experience is used to judge what the nature of an urban extension might be.

General visibility of potential development within the zone – considering influences of enclosing or screening elements such as landform, hedgerows, trees, woodlands, and built development.

Population – numbers and types of views. The sensitivity of visual receptors (or viewers) is dependent on the location and context of the viewpoint and viewing opportunities, the occupation/pastime of the receptor and the importance of the view.

Sensitivity of view:

- Low – Viewers with a passing interest in their surroundings, e.g. motorists.
- Medium – Viewers with a moderate interest in their surroundings, e.g. users of recreation facilities.
- High – Viewers with proprietary interest and prolonged viewing opportunities, e.g. a residential property of users of public footpaths.

Visual sensitivity has been assessed using the following five-point scale and corresponding definitions: -

Table A3: Visual Sensitivity Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Nature of potential change – unobtrusive in the context of its setting General visibility of the potential development – enclosed, screened. Only visible from short distances. Population – Seen by few viewers, or predominantly by viewers with a passing interest in their surroundings, e.g. motorists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to medium</td>
<td>Between low and medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Nature of potential change – moderately obtrusive in the context of its setting General visibility of the potential development – visible but partially enclosed or screened. Not visible from long distances. Population – seen by a moderate number of viewers. Seen by viewers of medium or lower sensitivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium to high</td>
<td>Between medium and high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Nature of potential change – highly obtrusive in the context</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visual Sensitivity | Definition
---|---
| of its setting
General visibility of the potential development – highly visible due to the open, exposed nature of the surroundings. Might be visible from long distances.
Population – seen by a large number of viewers. Seen predominantly by viewers of high or lower sensitivity.

A1.4 Defining Landscape Capacity

Information produced from the field survey is used to make transparent judgements about the sensitivity and indicative capacity of each Landscape Setting Area to accommodate new built development.

Reaching conclusions about capacity means making a judgement about whether the amount of change proposed can be accommodated without having unacceptable adverse effects on the character of the landscape (related to landscape character sensitivity), or the way that it is perceived (related to visual sensitivity), and without compromising the values attached to it (related to landscape value).

In order to identify the indicative capacity of each Landscape Setting Area to accommodate new built development, the overall sensitivity of each Landscape Setting Area has initially been determined by integrating landscape character sensitivity and visual sensitivity in accordance with the matrix set out in Table A4 overleaf.

The overall capacity of a Landscape Setting Area to accommodate new built development has been determined by integrating overall landscape sensitivity and landscape value in accordance with using the matrix set out in Table A5 overleaf.
### Table A4: Combining Landscape Character Sensitivity and Visual Sensitivity to give Overall Landscape Sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Character Sensitivity</th>
<th>Visual Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>Medium to High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table A5: Combining Overall Landscape Sensitivity and Landscape Value to give Landscape Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Landscape Sensitivity</th>
<th>Landscape Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium to High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
<td>Low to Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A. LOCAL LANDSCAPE SETTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LANDSCAPE CHARACTER SENSITIVITY</th>
<th>Natural Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetation: <strong>Hedgerows</strong>, tree cover and type, woodland (visually important)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water bodies / courses: River (s/m/l) Speed (f/m/s), river meanders, lake, ponds, bog/wetland, drainage channels, drainage ditches, locks/weirs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water bodies / courses: River (s/m/l) Speed (f/m/s), river meanders,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land Use: Farmland (A/P), Forestry/woodland, historic parkland, mineral working, natural, military, other.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enclosure and pattern: Scale and shape of fields (refer to HLC data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape Quality / Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape Character: key features that contribute to the character of this area and make it differ from surrounding areas – land form, hydrology, land cover, field patterns and boundaries, communications, buildings etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape Qualities / Features: Condition/ survival or intactness/ state of repair of individual features or elements, such as field boundaries, trees and woodland, historic features etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban Edge Description: Type and quality and character – how is the edge perceived? Well integrated /harsh / ad-hoc urban fringe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Settlement Perception:** views to – open, filtered or well screened.

Aesthetic Factors

• **Built/ architectural character:** Timber-frame, weatherboard, flint, brick (traditional/ modern), stone, slate, thatch, tile.

• **Scale:** intimate, small, medium, large

• **Enclosure:** expansive, open, enclosed, constrained

• **Stimulus:** Monotonous, bland, interesting, inspiring

• **Movement:** Remote, vacant, peaceful, active

• **Unity:** unified, interrupted, fragmented, chaotic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OVERALL SENSITIVITY RATING</th>
<th>LOW / LOW TO MEDIUM / MEDIUM / MEDIUM TO HIGH / HIGH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**VISUAL SENSITIVITY**

General Visibility

• **Topography / Landform Influences:** Flat, shelving, rolling, undulating, steep slopes, gentle slopes, floodplain, hills, plateau, broad valley, narrow valley, shallow valley

• **Skylines / ridgelines:** Views – panoramic/ framed/ open/ channelled, key views to landmarks / landscape features

• **Tree / Woodland cover:** Robust, filtered, open views;

• **General Intervisibility:** The degree to which an area is widely visible from, and positively influences the character of, surrounding areas.

• **Broad description of potential views:** Who will see the setting – nearby residents? Users of nearby motorways / roads? Users of public footpaths?

• **Distinctive Approaches / Gateways / Nodes:**

• **Pedestrian Movement:** Good access to or restricted? Green
corridors / bridges, links / connections to countryside.

OVERALL SENSITIVITY RATING

LOW / LOW TO MEDIUM / MEDIUM / MEDIUM TO HIGH / HIGH

LANDSCAPE VALUE

- **Historic Integrity**: Visually noted features of historic interest that contribute to the landscape setting – taking into account the intactness and integrity of historic landscape patterns and the presence of valued historic features within the area.

- **Ecological Integrity**: Visually noted features of ecological interest that contribute to the character of the area e.g. Ecological/ nature conservation designations; Woodland (native? Deciduous?); rivers / streams / lakes / pond

- **Tranquillity**: Noise disturbance; Very strong, strong, moderate, low; e.g. minor or major noise disturbance? Scenic beauty and value? Contribution to settlement i.e. amenity value – allotments, sports pitches, parks and gardens, public access and permeability?

OVERALL VALUE RATING

LOW / LOW TO MEDIUM / MEDIUM / MEDIUM TO HIGH / HIGH

OVERALL SETTING SUMMARY

B. POTENTIAL MITIGATION OF LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACTS

Overall opportunities:

e.g. development of green links (public right of way provision)

e.g. Screening of visual detractors through, for example, woodland linkages

e.g. General enhancement of hedgerows
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Woodlands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e.g. Conserve and enhance the landscape setting of settlements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.g. Conserve or enhance views</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>